TOWN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

P-ISSN: 2304-3075; E-ISSN: 2305-4360

International Journal of Veterinary Science



www.ijvets.com; editor@ijvets.com

Research Article

Efficacy of a Polyherbal Drug against Indigestion in Bovine

AM Syed^{1*}, VD Aher², PM Mane¹ and GR Gangane³

¹Hospital Registrar, COVAS, Parbhani, M.S. (India)

²Professor, COVAS, Parbhani, M.S. (India)

³Associate Professor, COVAS, Parbhani, M.S. (India)

*Corresponding author: mujeebvet@rediffmail.com

Article History: Received: September 30, 2017 Revised: October 12, 2017 Accepted: November 01, 2017

ABSTRACT

The study aims to evaluate efficacy of polyherbal drug Powder Rumec ES for the treatment of simple indigestion in bovine. Twenty (n=20) clinical cases presented with history of loss of appetite, dullness, depression, absence of ruminal contraction and suspended rumination were treated with powder Rumec ES @ 15gm orally twice daily till complete cure. Powder Rumec ES, is a polyherbal stomachic, digestive and tonic drug manufactured by Rakesh Pharmaceuticals, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, containing 24 different herbs. The significant change in temperature and non-significant changes in heart rate and respiratory rate after treatment of affected cattle and buffaloes were noticed. After treatment of affected cattle and buffaloes no significant changes in rumen fluid pH and significant changes in hemoglobin, packed cell volume and total leukocyte count before and after treatment of affected cattle and buffaloes were noticed. Out of twenty animals treated, eighteen were completely recovered. One animal recovered partial and one animal died during treatment might be due to complete anorexia and severity of condition. The results indicated that Powder Rumec ES could be effective in amelioration of simple indigestion in ruminants as a co-therapy with sodium bicarbonate, B complex injections, antibiotics and supportive therapy.

Key words: Indigestion, Powder Rumec ES, Bovine

INTRODUCTION

Rumen ecology plays vital role in the digestion, absorption and assimilation of ingesta in ruminants. The physiology of digestion of ruminants is unique among domestic animals in that bacterial enzymes play an integral part in absorption, assimilation and metabolism. Cellulose and related compounds found in hays and roughages consumed by ruminants is dependent upon enzymes elaborated by micro- organisms living within the digestive tract. Microbial fermentation is the most important facet of ruminant digestion. The rumen microbial population has the first opportunity to digest any feed consumed by the ruminant and anything that affects the rumen ecosystem will ultimately affect what and how nutrients are available to the animal for productive purposes (Kasaralikar *et al.*, 2014).

Abrupt change in the feed is the most common reason for indigestion in ruminants. Other factors such as feeding spoiled, moulded feed, use of antibiotics, sudden changes in climatic conditions also cause

indigestion. Due to abrupt changes in feed, the ruminal microflora is unable to adapt resulting in indigestion. When the rumen becomes dysfunctional, feed digestion is impaired and animal become susceptible to a range of metabolic diseases and digestive disorders such as simple indigestion, acidic and alkaline indigestion which are characterized by poor appetite and change in pH, decreased rumen motility and decreased protozoal counts. Almost any dietary factor can alter the intraruminal environment can cause impairment of the digestive process. In order for animals to achieve their genetic potential for milk production and remain healthy, it is critical that the rumen environment be kept in a "healthy" state. Keeping the rumen healthy means that fiber will be digested at a maximal rate and feed intake will be maximized. The occurrence of various diseases in dairy animals adversely affects the production and thus this leads to financial losses. Hypogalactia and agalactia are the common conditions in dairy animals suffering from indigestion, which can be cured quickly by adopting radical treatment.

Cite This Article as: Syed AM, VD Aher, PM Mane and GR Gangane, 2017. Efficacy of a polyherbal drug against indigestion in bovine. Inter J Vet Sci, 6(4): 196-198. www.ijvets.com (©2017 IJVS. All rights reserved)

Treatment of animals suffering from indigestion in ruminants by conventional drugs is expensive whereas by use of herbal drugs, which are cheap, safe and without any side effect, the problem can be solved (Kasaralikar *et al.*, 2014, Rajiv Walia *et al.*, 2011; Singh *et al.*, 1989, Nooruddin, 1983, Arora *et al.*, 1978). This study was aimed to assess the efficacy of polyherbal drug Powd. Rumec ES against simple indigestion in large domestic ruminants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty clinical cases of bovine presented to TVCC, COVAS, Parbhani during October 2016 to March 2017 with signs suggestive of simple indigestion were selected for the present therapeutic trial.

History regarding indigestion, temperature, respiratory rate, heart rate, ruminal motility, treatment regimen, response to treatment and relapse of the condition, if any were recorded. Blood samples were collected in sterile citrated vials by jugular venepuncture from affected animals before and after treatment to estimate hematological values. Blood samples were analyzed for hemoglobin (Hb), packed cell volume (PCV) and total leucocyte count (TLC) by using automated hemoanalyzer. Rumen fluid collected before and after treatment was analyzed for physical (pH, colour and consistency) and microscopic examination (density and motility). Twenty cases of bovine showing indigestion were treated with powder Rumec ES (15gm orally twice daily) until complete cure was achieved.

Powder Rumec ES, is a polyherbal stomachic, digestive and tonic drug manufactured by Rakesh Pharmaceuticals, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, containing 24 different herbs. These individual constituent herbs are scientifically known to possess appetizer, restorative, carminative, stomachics and tonic activity. The results of the present study may be attributed to the synergistic effect of the constituent herbs. Therapeutic efficacy of the polyherbal drugs (Powder Rumec ES) was determined on the basis of relief from simple indigestion and normal functioning of rumen. The data generated during present study was analysed by employing "student t test" as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The detail of observations recorded on indigestion, temperature, respiratory rate, heart rate, ruminal motility, diagnosis and polyherbal treatment in bovine suffering from indigestion are as follows.

Clinical parameters

All the clinical cases presented with history of loss of appetite, dullness, depression, absence of ruminal contraction and suspended rumination was used for present trial. In present investigation, significant change in temperature (101.76±0.23 vs 101.185±0.24°F) and nonsignificant changes in heart rate (64.95±3.37 vs 67.45±2.08) and respiratory rate (21.85±0.99 vs 20.3±0.73) before and after treatment of affected cattle and buffaloes were noticed (Table 1). All the animals had normal temperature, heart rate and respiration on the day of presentation and thereafter during the treatment period (Kasaralikar *et al.*, 2014, Ramesh and Akbar, 2006).

Ruminal motility score

Prior to treatment about 70% of ruminant animals (n=14) had hypo motility and 30% (n=6) had ruminal stasis (no motility). Prior to treatment the rumen motility score (mean \pm std.e.) observed in ruminants was 1.05 ± 0.18 while statistically significant improvement in post-treatment ruminal motility (P<0.01) observed 2 ± 0.18 in Powder Rumec ES treated animals. Rumen motility is restored to normal in animals treated with Powder Rumec ES (Table 1). Mohan *et al.* (2015) reported significant increase in ruminal motility in animal affected with indigestion and treated with poly herbal formulation.

Table 1: Mean±SE values of clinical parameters, rumen fluid and hematology in cattle and buffaloes affected with simple indigestion and treated with powder Rumec ES.

| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Parameter | Before treatment | After treatment | 't' Value |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| $\begin{array}{c} \text{Respiratory rate} \\ \text{(per minute)} \\ \text{Ruminal motility} \\ \text{PH of Rumen fluid} \\ \text{Protozoal Density} \\ \text{Protozoal Motility} \\ \text{HB (gm\%)} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 21.85 \pm 0.99 \\ 20.3 \pm 0.73 \\ 1.26 ^{\text{NS}} \\ 20.3 \pm 0.73 \\ 1.26 ^{\text{NS}} \\ 2\pm 0.18 \\ 3.71^{**} \\ 7.19 \pm 0.07 \\ 1.89 ^{\text{NS}} \\ 10.15 \pm 1.42 \\ 14.2 \pm 1.26 \\ 1.92^{*} \\ 2\pm 0.16 \\ 2.79^{**} \\ 10.1 \pm 0.32 \\ 10.38 \pm 0.31 \\ 0.62 ^{\text{NS}} \end{array}$ | Temperature (°F) | 101.76±0.23 | 101.185±0.24 | 1.73* |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Heart rate (beats/min) | 64.95 ± 3.37 | 67.45 ± 2.08 | 0.63^{NS} |
| PH of Rumen fluid 7.51 \pm 0.15 7.19 \pm 0.07 1.89 NS Protozoal Density 10.55 \pm 1.42 14.2 \pm 1.26 1.92* Protozoal Motility 1.35 \pm 0.17 2 \pm 0.16 2.79** HB (gm%) 10.1 \pm 0.32 10.38 \pm 0.31 0.62 NS | 1 - | 21.85±0.99 | 20.3±0.73 | 1.26^{NS} |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Ruminal motility | 1.05 ± 0.18 | 2 ± 0.18 | 3.71** |
| Protozoal Motility 1.35 \pm 0.17 2 \pm 0.16 2.79** HB (gm%) 10.1 \pm 0.32 10.38 \pm 0.31 0.62 NS | PH of Rumen fluid | 7.51 ± 0.15 | 7.19 ± 0.07 | 1.89^{NS} |
| HB (gm%) 10.1 ± 0.32 10.38 ± 0.31 0.62^{NS} | Protozoal Density | 10.55 ± 1.42 | 14.2 ± 1.26 | 1.92^{*} |
| | Protozoal Motility | 1.35 ± 0.17 | 2 ± 0.16 | 2.79^{**} |
| | HB (gm%) | 10.1 ± 0.32 | 10.38 ± 0.31 | 0.62^{NS} |
| PCV (%) 29.38±1.14 30.82±1.03 0.93 NS | PCV (%) | 29.38±1.14 | 30.82 ± 1.03 | 0.93^{NS} |
| WBC (TLC) (x10 ³ /μl) 10.51±0.58 9.87±0.53 0.63 NS | WBC (TLC) $(x10^3/\mu l)$ | 10.51±0.58 | 9.87±0.53 | |

NS-Non significant, *Significant (P<0.05); **Highly significant (P<0.01).

Examination of rumen fluid

Rumen fluid physical and microscopic examination parameters showed gradual improvement towards normalcy after treatment in all affected animals. Average rumen fluid pH in affected animals before treatment was non-significant 7.51±0.15 as compared to post treatment rumen fluid pH 7.19±0.07. The significant changes were recorded in protozoal density before and after treatment $(10.55\pm1.42 \text{ vs } 14.2\pm1.26)$. The significant improvement in protozoal motility was recorded after treatment (2±0.16) as compare to value before treatment (1.35±0.17). Earliar, Garry (2002) stated that in simple indigestion, pH changes were mild and tend towards acidosis or alkalosis. Kasaralikar et al. (2014), Steen (2001) and Dirksen (1969) stated that the protozoal motility decreases whenever there is a reduction in rumen pH. The physical qualities of rumen fluid recorded before treatment were greenish brown color and watery consistency which were improved on treatment with polyherbal drug to greenish color and viscous consistency after treatment (Kasaralikar et al., 2014).

Treatment

Powder Rumec ES, a polyherbal digestive tonic and stomachic drug manufactured by Rakesh Pharmaceuticals, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, contains 24 different herbs as Raktapushpak, Haridra, Chapla, Ruchak, Kiratikta, Sathava, Agnik, Shriphal, Chatra, Bibhitak, Haritaki, Tiktak, Amalaki, Aranyajirar, Mayuarak, Rason, Guduchi, Sulabha, Methica, Vidang, Samyak, Gundra, Ramath and Katubhadra.

Out of twenty animals treated, eighteen were completely recovered (90%). One animal recovered partial while other one animal died during treatment, which might be due to complete anorexia and severity of condition. This indicated that the Powder Rumec ES could improve the ruminal function probably due to presence of combination of potent herbs, which exert rumenotoric and stomachic action. Earlier, Rajiv Walia *et al.* (2011) and Ramesh and Akbar, (2006) reported that the poly herbal formulation are very effective in curing more than 95% cases of primary indigestion and helpful in early restoration of milk production.

The recovery period varied between 1 to 6 days with an average of 2.6 days. Out of 20 animals treated, 17 recovered within first 3 days, 2 by 4-6 days. The mild and early cases responded quickly to the treatment whereas, moderate cases responded slowly. There was no any relapse of indigestion in either of case treated in present trial. Rajiv Walia *et al.* (2011) reported that cases of simple indigestion recovered within 3-5 days.

In present investigation, non-significant changes in hemoglobin (10.1 ± 0.32 vs 10.38 ± 0.31 g/dl), packed cell volume (29.38 ± 1.14 vs 30.82 ± 1.03 %) and total leukocyte count (10.51 ± 0.58 vs $9.87\pm0.53\times10^3/\mu$ l) were noted before and after treatment in cattle and buffaloes affected with indigestion were noticed (Table 1). Kasaralikar *et al.*, 2014 reported non-significant difference (P>0.05) in hemoglobin and packed cell volume values before and after treatment.

The treatment with Powder Rumec ES proved to be effective, safe and did not show any untoward effect at recommended therapeutic doses. The results indicated that Powder Rumec ES could be effective in amelioration of simple indigestion in ruminants, which is evident through improvement in ruminal motility and normal functioning of rumen. Based on the results it can be concluded that Powder Rumec ES may be recommended as a treatment for indigestion as a co-therapy with sodium bicarbonate, B complex injections, antibiotics and supportive therapy.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to Chairman, Rakesh Pharmaceuticals, Kalol, Dist. Gandhinagar (North

Gujarat) for sponsoring to carry out this project. We are thankful to Associate Dean, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Parbhani for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this project.

REFERENCES

- Arora SP, SS Thakur, AN Tripathi and A Chhabra, 1978. Influence of Galog on digestibility and milk production of Karan Swiss cow. Pashudhan. 4: 47-48.
- Dirksen G, 1969. Is the "methylenbluereduction-probe" usable as quick-test for clinical examination of rumen fluid? Dtsch Tierärztl Wschr. 76: 305-309.
- Garry, FB. 2002. Indigestion in ruminants. In: B. P. Smith (ed.): Large animal internal medicine 2nd ed. Mosby, St. Louis and Baltimore, 722-747.
- Kasaralikar VR, NA Patil, K Ravikanth, A Thakur and Shivi Maini, 2014. Clinico-therapeutic evaluation of Ruchamax and Ruchamax-N in various digestive disorders and restoration of normal ruminal function. Int J Phytopharm, 4: 67-69.
- Mohan GC, BR Naik and AC Kumar, 2015. Therapeutic efficacy of poly-herbal formulations and heterologous cud transplantation with probiotics in ameliorating simple indigestion in buffaloes. Int J Pharm Bio Sci, 6: 38-46.
- Nooruddin M, 1983. Clinical trial of Himalayan Batisa in loss of appetite of cattle. Indian J Anim Sci, 8: 69-70.
- Rajiv Walia, K Ravikanth and S Maini, 2011. Efficacy of Ruchamax N in treatment of Digestive Disorders in Cow. Veterinary World, 4: 126-127.
- Ramesh Kumari and MA Akbar, 2006. Clinical efficacy of some herbal drugs during indigestion in buffaloes. Buffalo Bulletin, 25: 3.
- Singh, N, Ramesh Kumari and MA Akbar, 1989. Biochemical and microbial changes in rumen of anorexic buffaloes. J Vet Physiol Allied Sci, 8: 36-44.
- Snedecor GM and WC Cochran, 1994 Statistical Methods, 8th edition, Lowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Steen A, 2001. Field study of dairy cows with reduced appetite in early lactation: clinical examinations, blood and rumen fluid analyses. Acta Vet Scand, 42: 219-228.