This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)



**Research Article** <https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijvs/2024.166>

# **Antibiotic Resistance and Pathogenicity of** *Escherichia coli* **Isolated from Cattle Raised in Households in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam**

Minh TL Bui<sup>1</sup>, Thuong T Nguyen<sup>2</sup>, Hieu C Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Khai LT Ly<sup>1</sup> and Thuan K Nguyen<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture, Can Tho University, 3/2 Street, Xuan Khanh Ward, Ninh Kieu District, Can Tho City 90000, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Nong Lam University – Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, Region 6<sup>th</sup>, Linh Trung Ward, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City 71308, Vietnam

**\*Corresponding author:** nkthuan@ctu.edu.vn



# **ABSTRACT**

Of 127 cattle feces samples collected in the Mekong Delta from March to June 2023, 121 samples were positive for *E. coli* (95.28%). In those surveyed households, there was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of *E. coli* in cattle of different ages, dairy or beef. The antimicrobial susceptibility test indicated that those *E. coli* strains were susceptible to ceftazidime (87.27%), cefuroxime (89.09%), amikacin, and doxycycline (90.91%). However, *E. coli* strains were remarkably resistant to ampicillin (81.82%), streptomycin and tetracycline (74.55%), colistin and chloramphenicol (70.91%), amoxicillin/clav.acid (65.45%). Moreover, 63.64% of examined *E. coli* strains were resistant to one to twelve antibiotics, and the resistant pattern of  $Am + Ac + Co + Sm + Te + Cl$  accounted for the highest rate (16.36%). By PCR method, the presence of genes encoding antibiotic resistance was determined, in which the *tetA* gene had the highest presence (69.09%), and the lowest was the *blaTEM* gene (18.18%). Most *E. coli* (34.55%) strains harbored two to four antibiotic resistance genes, and the phenotypes of *cat1* + *sulII* and *sulII* + *tetA* were the most common (5.45%). Using the PCR method to determine the presence of virulence genes, it was recorded that the *tsh* gene had the highest presence rate (54.55%), and the lowest was the *fyuA* gene (12.73%). Those *E. coli* strains (23.63%) could carry two to four virulence genes, and the most common pattern was *astA* + *tsh* (9.09%). Thus, the prevalence of pathogenic and antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* in cattle was critical to protect animal and human health.

**Key words:** Antibiotic resistance, Cattle, *E. coli*, Household, Pathogenic genes

## **INTRODUCTION**

Livestock manure contains microbial elements that can serve as a source of pathogenic microorganisms for animals and humans. *Escherichia coli* can be transmitted through the fecal-oral route and exists naturally in the intestinal tract of ruminants (Armstrong et al. 1996). Moreover, cattle are reservoirs of extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC), which could potentially lead to the transmission of diseases and the proliferation of antimicrobial resistance to humans (Bélanger et al. 2011). Pathogens, including *E. coli,* identified in manure samples might be resistant to antibiotics and zoonotic in nature (Argudin et al. 2017). Several epidemiological investigations have documented variations in the transmission of *E. coli* by cattle, which has significant implications for agriculture, medicine, and public health. The occurrence of *E. coli* super-shedding and superspreading in cattle is influenced by factors such as the specific microorganism, characteristics of the cattle, and environmental conditions (Stein and Katz 2017; Ezzat et al. 2023).

The current use of antibiotics has not been controlled in disease prevention and treatment for livestock, increasing the antibiotic resistance of bacterial strains. On the other hand, the overuse of antibiotics in treating human and animal diseases has led to antibiotic resistance or multiresistance in bacteria, especially in *E. coli* (Wellington et al. 2013). The antibiotic resistance rate of *E. coli* has been increasing and multi-resistant to several antibiotic types. Gow et al. (2008) indicated that *E. coli* strains collected by rectal swabs from cattle in Western Canada harbored 23 resistance genes corresponding to 6 different antibiotic groups, and the most common antibiotic-resistance genes were *sulII* (48.3%), *tetB* (45.4%). Navajas-Benito et al. (2016) reported that 21.8% of *E. coli* isolated in dairy farms

**Cite This Article as:** Bui MTL, Nguyen TT, Nguyen HC, Ly KLT and Nguyen TK, 2024. Antibiotic resistance and pathogenicity of *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle raised in households in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. International Journal of Veterinary Science 13(5): 730-736.<https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijvs/2024.166>

in Spain had high resistance to ampicillin, nalidixic acid,trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline. Moreover, those *E. coli* strains harbored several antibioticresistance genes, including *blaTEM-1, tetA, tetB, cmlA, floR, sul*, etc., and 14% of strains showed a multidrugresistant phenotype.

Most studies about *E. coli* in cattle focused on Shigatoxin-producing *E. coli*. However, ExPEC can cause disease for hosts via excreating toxins, such as *astA*, *fuyA*, *tsh*, and *traT*. These toxins cause diarrhea, hemorrhage, kidney failure, pneumonia, urinary tract infections, meningitis in newborns, sepsis, and pneumonia (Johnson et al. 2007). Kirtikliene et al. (2022) reported that ExPEC was the main cause of human bloodstream infections in Lithuania, and genes *fuyA* and *traT* were detected from those strains. In other research, Shoaib et al. (2023) examined the presence of virulence genes in *E. coli* isolated from cattle in Xinjiang, China, and found that the *ompA* gene was the most common (86.69%), followed by *ibeB* (85.0%), *traT* (84.91%), and *fyuA* (23.1%). These genes were considered the main cause of animal mastitis and transmitted to humans through contaminated milk, meat, surface water, and agricultural crops. Fathy et al. (2019) also reported that gene *tsh* was detected from *E. coli* strains isolated from diarrhea newborn calves in Egypt. Thus, it was revealed that those pathogenic genes could cause diseases in cattle and humans.

In the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, cattle have been raised mainly in households with small herds. Therefore, hygiene and disease management have been unsatisfied, and they can affect animal and human health. This study aimed to clarify the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and pathogenicity of *E. coli* isolated from cattle. The results are distributed for epidemiological management of diseases caused by *E. coli* in cattle and humans in this region.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Sample collection from cattle**

This study was conducted following the guidelines outlined in the Helsinki Declaration. The Animal Ethic Committee of Can Tho University, Can Tho City, Vietnam, accepted animal experiment procedures.

In this study, the experiment was done randomly and 127 cattle feces were collected at all genders, ages, and dairy or beef cattle at the households  $\langle$ <10 herds/household) in Tra Vinh province, Ben Tre province, and Can Tho City from March to June 2023 in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam.

Feces (25 grams) were collected directly in the morning after cattle had shed on the floor barns. After that, the inside of the feces was taken and kept in separate sterilized plastic bags in cool conditions  $(4-8°C)$  for transport to the laboratory to detect *E. coli* within 24 hours.

#### **Isolation and identification of** *E. coli* **in cattle feces**

In the laboratory, *E. coli* was detected following the guidelines of the Vietnamese National Standard TCVN 5155-90 and Barrow and Feltham (2003). The feces (25g) samples were incubated in 250mL of buffered peptone water broth (BPW, Merck, Germany) to enrich *E. coli* in the samples. After incubating at 37°C for 24h, one loop of enrichment broth of each sample was streaked on MacConkey agar (MC, Merck, Germany) and further incubated at 37°C for 24h.

All suspicious colonies of *E. coli* were picked up and subcultured on nutrient agar (NA, Merck, Germany) for further incubation at 37°C in 24h to examine biochemical tests following the guidelines of Barrow and Feltham (2003). Then, those confirmed *E. coli* strains were cultured on trypticase soy agar (TSA, Merck, Germany) for incubation at 37°C for 24h to conduct other experiments.

#### **Antimicrobial susceptibility of** *E. coli* **isolated from cattle**

Of 121 *E. coli* positive samples, 55 *E. coli* strains, which were representative of households, ages, and cattle types, were selected to examine the antimicrobial susceptibility of thirteen antibiotics. The sensitivity of bacteria to antibiotics was assessed using the Kirby-Bauer diffusion method (Bauer et al. 1966) and compared to CLSI standards (2022). *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 served as the quality control. Bacteria showing intermediate susceptibility were classified as susceptible strains.

The antibiotic discs were used in this study, including ampicillin (Am, 10μg), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (Ac,  $20/10\mu$ g), cefuroxime (Cu,  $30\mu$ g), ceftazidime (Cz,  $30\mu$ g), gentamycin (Ge, 10μg), colistin (Co, 10μg), streptomycin (Sm, 10μg), amikacin (Ak, 30μg), doxycycline (Dx, 30μg), tetracycline (Te, 30µg), chloramphenicol (Cl, 30µg), ofloxacin (Of, 5µg), levofloxacin (Lv, 5µg), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Bt, 1.25/23.75μg) supplied by Nam Khoa Biotek Ltd. (Vietnam).

#### **Prevalence of antibiotic-resistance genes in** *E. coli* **isolated from cattle**

Fifty-five *E. coli* strains were examined for antimicrobial susceptibility and used to detect antibioticresistance gene prevalence. The DNA of those *E. coli* strains was extracted using the heat-shock method (Ahmed and Dablool 2017) and stored at -20°C for use in this experiment.

The PCR reaction used Mastermix 2X (Bioline, Canada) in a total of 25µL: Mastermix 2X (12.5µl), forward primer (0.5µl), reverse primer (0.5µL), distilled water (9.5µL) and DNA template (2.0µL).

The antibiotic-resistance genes were chosen due to the high resistance of *E. coli* in the antimicrobial susceptibility test. The primer sequences and PCR conditions were conducted following the guidelines for *blaTEM* (Jouini et al. 2007), *cat1* (Santos et al. 2014), *mcr-1* (Arcilla et al. 2016), *strB* (Han et al. 2004), *tetA* (Randall et al. 2004), and *sulII* (Sáenz et al. 2010). In this study, the negative control was distilled water, while the positive controls were *E. coli*  strains, which harbored these genes, isolated from cattle previously in the Mekong Delta.

#### **Prevalence of pathogenic genes in** *E. coli* **isolated from cattle**

Fifty-five *E. coli* strains were examined to detect four pathogenic genes. The PCR assays were conducted following similar steps to detect antibiotic-resistance genes. The primer sequences and PCR conditions were performed following the guidelines for *astA* (Kimata et al. 2005), *fyuA* (Schubert et al. 1998), *tsh* (Nikpiran et al. 2018), and *traT* (Książczyk et al. 2021). In this study, the negative control was distilled water, while the positive controls were *E. coli*  strains, which harbored these genes, isolated from domestic animals previously in the Mekong Delta.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was used to clarify the difference in the prevalence of *E. coli* in cattle and antibiotic resistance and pathogenic genes among those strains. The Pearson Chi-square test was used at the significance rate of 95% in the Minitab 17.0 software.

# **RESULTS**

## **Prevalence of** *E. coli* **isolated from cattle in the households in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam**

Of 127 feces samples, 121 were positive for *E. coli* at a high rate (95.28%). However, there were no significant differences at all ages, and beef or dairy cattle in those households (P>0.05). *E. coli* was detected in beef and dairy cattle at 95.10% and 96.00%, while at > 12 months and  $\leq$ 12 months at 98.41% and 92.19%, respectively (Table 1).

## **Antimicrobial susceptibility of** *E. coli* **strains isolated from cattle**

Of 121 *E. coli*-positive samples, 55 *E. coli* strains were selected to examine the antimicrobial susceptibility to 13 antibiotics (Table 2). The results indicated that those strains were highly sensitive to several antibiotics such as doxycycline (90.91%), amikacin (90.91%), cefuroxime (89.09%), ceftazidime (87.27%), ofloxacin (78.18%), and levofloxacin (74.55%). However, those *E. coli* strains were remarkably resistant to ampicillin (81.82%), streptomycin (74.55%), tetracycline (74.55%), colistin (70.91%), chloramphenicol (70.91%), and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (65.45%). The results also revealed that *E. coli* strains resistant to antibiotics in one antibiotic group were different.

Moreover, those *E. coli* strains (63.64%) could be multi-resistant to two to twelve examined antibiotics (Table 3). The most common resistance pattern was Am+Ac+Co+Sm+Te+Cl (16.36%). One *E. coli* strain was particularly resistant to 12/13 examined antibiotics with the pattern of Am+Ac+Cz+Cu+Co+Ge+Sm+ Te+Dx+Cl+Lv+Of (1.81%).

## **Prevalence of antibiotic-resistance genes in** *E. coli* **strains isolated from cattle**

Of six examined antibiotic-resistance genes (Table 4), gene *tetA* (69.09%) was detected at the highest frequency, followed by *cat1* (36.36%), *strB* (27.27%), *mcr-1* (23.64%), *sulII* (21.82%), and *blaTEM* (18.18%). Those genes' prevalence was inconsistent with the antimicrobial susceptibility test results in some antibiotic groups.

Those *E. coli* strains (34.55%) could carry multiple antibiotic-resistance genes from two to four genes (Table 5). Among patterns, the patterns of *cat1+ mcr-1* and *sulII + tetA* (5.45%) were more common than others.

#### **Prevalence of pathogenic genes in** *E. coli* **strains isolated from cattle**

Of four examined pathogenic genes, gene *tsh* (54.55%) was found at the highest rate in those *E. coli* strains (Table 6), followed by *traT* (29.09%), *astA* (25.45%), and *fyuA* (12.73%).

**Table 1:** Prevalence of *E. coli* isolated from cattle in households of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam

Factor		No. of examined No. of positive Percentage	
	samples	samples	$\%$ )
Beef cattle	102	97	95.10
Dairy cattle	25	24	96.00
			(P>0.05)
$>12$ month-age 63		62	98.41
$\leq$ 12 month-age 64		59	92.19
			(P>0.05)
Total	127	121	95.28

**Table 2:** Antimicrobial susceptibility of *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle (n=55)

Antibiotics	Sensitivity		Resistance	
		No. of Percentage No. of Percentage		
	strains	(% )	strains	(% )
Ampicillin	10	18.18	45	81.82
Streptomycin	14	25.45	41	74.55
Tetracycline	14	25.45	41	74.55
Colistin	16	29.09	39	70.91
Chloramphenicol	16	29.09	39	70.91
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 19		34.55	36	65.45
Gentamycin	34	61.82	21	38.18
Levofloxacin	41	74.55	14	25.45
Ofloxacin	43	78.18	12	21.82
Ceftazidime	48	87.27	7	12.73
Cefuroxime	49	89.09	6	10.91
Amikacin	50	90.91	5	9.09
Doxycycline	50	90.91	5	9.09

**Table 3:** The antibiotic-resistance patterns of *E. coli* isolated from cattle (n=55)



*Co: colistin; Cu: cefuroxime; Te: tetracyline; Ge: gentamycin; Sm: streptomycin; Am: ampicillin; Cl: chloramphenicol; Ac: amoxcillin/clavulanic acid; Dx: doxycyline; Cz: ceftazidime; Of: ofloxacin; Lv: levofloxacin; Ak: amikacin*

**Table 4:** Prevalence of antibiotic-resistance genes in *E. coli*  strains isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta (n=55)

Antibiotics	Gene	Positive strains #	$\%$
Tetracycline	tetA	38	69.09
Phenicol	cat1	20	36.36
Aminoglycosides	strB	15	27.27
Colistin	$mcr-1$	13	23.64
Sulfonamid	sulII	12	21.82
Beta-lactam	<b>blaTEM</b>	10	18.18

Those *E. coli* strains (23.63%) harbored multiple pathogenic genes from two to four examined genes (Table 7). The pattern of *astA* + *tsh* (9.09%) was the most detected from those strains, followed by  $astA + tsh + traT (7.27\%)$ .

**Table 5:** The antibiotic-resistance genotypes of *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle (n=55)

Genes #	Patterns	Strains #	$\%$
	$blaTEM + tetA$	2	3.64
$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$	$catI + sullI$	2	3.64
	$catI + strB$	2	3.64
	$catl+mcr-l$	3	5.45
	$catI + tetA$	2	3.64
	$sullI + strB$	1	1.82
	$sullI + tetA$	3	5.45
	$strB + mcr-1$		1.82
3	$mcr-I + sullI + strB$		1.82
	$catl + mcr-l+ strB$		1.82
	$blaTEM + catI + strB + tetA$ 1		1.82
	Total 19		34.55

**Table 6:** Prevalence of pathogenic genes in *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta (n=55)

Gene	Positive strains (n)	$\%$	
astA	14	25.45	
fyuA tsh		12.73	
	30	54.55	
traT	16	29.09	

**Table 7:** The combined pathogenic genes of *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle (n=55)



## **DISCUSSION**

In this study, *E. coli* was detected at a high rate (95.28%), and there was no difference between beef and dairy cattle in the households of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. These households had similarities in livestock farming practices, breeding techniques, disease prevention procedures and non-guaranteed hygiene; therefore, these cattle could harbor or be infected with *E. coli* at the same rate. Bako et al. (2017) surveyed cow dung samples collected in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso also showed a high presence rate of *E. coli* (95.00%). Ribeiro et al. (2019) detected *E. coli* in 128 feces samples (80.00%) and indicated cattle feces as important contamination sources of pathogenic *E. coli* in non-technified dairy farms, causing cross-contamination among feces, water, and raw milk. In addition, although *E. coli* permanently exists in the digestive tract of most animals (Fairbrother and Nadeau 2006), the infection of *E. coli* depends on environmental conditions and the animal's resistance (Kaper et al. 2004). The research of Hassan et al. (2014) showed that *E. coli* was present in 75% of rectal swabs taken from healthy cattle regardless of their age, gender, breed, or management system. In contrast, Mir et al. (2015) stated that animal age was a significant factor influencing STEC prevalence in cattle. Further studies could be carried out on cattle in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, to clarify the factors that could affect the prevalence of *E. coli* there.

In this survey, antibiotics were not commonly used in the treatment of cattle in those households; however, those *E. coli* strains showed significant resistance to several antibiotics belonging to groups of beta-lactam,

aminoglycoside, tetracycline, polymyxin, and chloramphenicol. The use of antibiotics is limited in ruminant farming to avoid affecting the cattle's rumen microflora; thus, this resistance could be bacterial nature-resistance or due to exposure to antibioticresistant agents in the surrounding environment (Heydari et al. 2020). Antibiotic resistance emerges through intricate interactions, as resistance is either generated through spontaneous mutation during clinical antibiotic exposure or commonly acquired through the assimilation of mobile genes that have gradually evolved within bacteria in the surrounding environment (Wellington et al. 2013). In Europe, Chantziaras et al. (2014) revealed that the significantly high coefficients in antibiotic resistance were linked to the use and the resistance levels found in commensal *E. coli* isolated from pigs, poultry, and cattle. Poirel et al. (2018) reported that the development of colistin resistance in *E. coli* was primarily linked to the widespread use of colistin in veterinary medicine worldwide. Srinivasan et al. (2007) showed that *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle in New York State, USA, were resistant to antibiotics used in veterinary medicine, including ampicillin (98.40%), streptomycin (40.30%), sulfisoxazole (34.10%), tetracycline (24.80%). Adenipekun et al. (2015) isolated *E. coli* from food animals, including cattle, in Lagos, Nigeria, and found that those *E. coli* strains exhibited the highest resistance to tetracycline (58.8%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (39.8%), and ampicillin (34.1%), but susceptibility to amikacin, cefepime, ceftazidime.

Moreover, those *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle in this study showed multiple resistance to several antibiotics. It indicated a critical issue in preventing and treating *E. coli* infection in cattle and human health in the Mekong Delta. The effects of the uncontrolled use of antibiotics, not according to the manufacturer's and veterinarian's regulations in disease treatment, are one of the leading causes of antibiotic resistance in animal husbandry. The increase in multidrug resistance phenotypes may be due to the accumulation of genes encoding antibiotic resistance on bacterial chromosomes or plasmids (Yamamoto et al. 2013). Benedict et al. (2015) stated that some resistances in bacterial populations are interconnected biologically, leading to their persistence even when exposed to selective pressures. This persistence is not solely due to exposure to antimicrobial drugs but rather due to their relationship with other resistances, as indicated by the outcomes in the multivariate model. Yamamoto et al. (2014) recorded that *E. coli* isolated from beef cattle manure in Japan was simultaneously resistant to 9-11 types of antibiotics. Thus, managing *E. coli* multi-drug resistance is essential to protect animal and human health.

Although *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle showed a high resistance in the antimicrobial susceptibility tests, the antibiotic-resistance genes were detected at a relatively low rate, except for gene *tetA*, in this study. It meant that gene *tetA* could be commonly present or a natural part of genomes in those strains. Shin et al. (2015) suggested that the significant occurrence of tetracycline-resistant *E. coli*  isolates in beef cattle in Korea could be attributed to the transferability of tetracycline resistance genes among *E. coli* populations that have withstood the selective pressure

induced by the administration of antimicrobial agents. Thuan et al. (2022) conducted research on Shiga-toxinproducing *E. coli* isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta and found that gene *tetA* (51.28%) was the most predominant in those strains. Yamamoto et al. (2013) proposed the potential scenario that resistance strains and genes of *E. coli* isolated from healthy cattle in different areas of Japan were indirectly transmitted among cattle through the farming environment, including their food and drink. Moreover, it was plausible that these resistance strains and genes spread when the growing cattle were sold and relocated to various regions. Massé et al. (2021) found that the resistance genes in *E. coli* isolated from dairy farms in Canada included several genes, including *tet(A)*, *tet(B)*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *sul3*, *aph(3")-Ib (strA)*, *aph(6)-Id (strB)*, *aadA1*, *aadA2*, and *aadA5*. Furthermore, certain mobile genetic elements found in those *E. coli* strains could be demonstrated to enable the preservation of resistance even in the absence of antibiotic selection pressure. Therefore, further research could be done to examine and determine the characteristics of antibiotic-resistance genes distributed in *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta.

The results of this study also demonstrated that those *E. coli* strains (34.55%) could harbor multiple antibioticresistance genes. Although the number of *E. coli* strains was low, they could be resistant to several antibiotics used in animal husbandry, including beta-lactam, polymyxin, and aminoglycoside. Most multi-resistance phenomena are due to the combination of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, transposons, and integrins contributing to the spread of antibiotic-resistant genes (Bradford 2001; Carattoli 2009). Gupta et al. (2017) reported that 100% of *E. coli* isolated from cattle in Bangladesh were found resistant to tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole. Moreover, 34% of those *E. coli* strains were resistant to more than two antimicrobials, and they were commonly present in cattle of different management systems. Bag et al. (2021) also clarified the antibiotic resistance of *E. coli* strains isolated from mastitis cattle in Bangladesh and reported that the antimicrobial resistance profile of *E. coli* strains was linked to the antimicrobial agents utilized on the farms. The presence of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* strains might lead to treatment failures and pose a risk for the transmission and emergence of antibiotic resistance in humans. Therefore, the prevalence of multi-antibiotic resistance to *E. coli* strains in cattle in the Mekong Delta should be controlled to prevent the spreading of those strains to husbandry environments and public health.

In this study, the *E. coli* strains harbored pathogenic genes that caused infection in cattle and humans outside the intestine, and gene *tsh* (54.55%) was the most detected from *E. coli* strains. Moreover, a few *E. coli* strains could harbor multi-pathogenic genes examined, and the pattern of *astA* + *tsh* was frequent (9.09%). Previous studies identified gene *tsh*, which encodes a temperaturesensitive hemagglutinin, as one of the prominent virulence factors for bacteria adhesion and colonization in the small bowel and the intestinal secretion of fluids (Welch 2006). Akiyama et al. (2015) found diarrheic genes, including *astA*, in several *E. coli* groups, such as Shiga-toxin-producing *E. coli* and Diarrheagenic *E. coli*

from cattle in Japan. Recently, Martins et al. (2022) found that the serum resistance-related genes (*traT*, *ompT*) could be used as biomarkers to investigate ExPEC isolates from umbilical infections in calves. Other reports showed that *E. coli* strains isolated from cattle in Lithuania, China, and Egypt could harbor those pathogenic genes, including *fuyA*, *traT*, and *tsh*. These strains were considered the main cause of sepsis and mastitis in humans and cattle (Fathy et al. 2019; Kirtikliene et al. 2022; Shoaib et al. 2023). Furthermore, Bacciu et al. (2004) indicated that an intergeneric transfer of virulence genes via an insertion sequence from *E. coli* to other pathogens and horizontal transfer of virulence factors between bacterial genera occurred in nature. Veilleux and Dubreuil (2006) also stated that outbreaks of food-borne and water-borne diarrhea in humans could be encountered with contamination from *E. coli* encoding gene *astA* associated with farm animals. Those results indicated that *E. coli* harboring pathogenic and multi-pathogenic genes isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta was a significant issue to animal and human health.

## **Conclusion**

The cattle in the Mekong Delta excreted *E. coli* at a high rate in their feces at all ages, whether beef or dairy cattle. Furthermore, those *E. coli* strains were remarkably resistant or multi-resistant to several antibiotics used in animal husbandry, with various resistant patterns. Those strains also harbored diverse antibiotic resistance and pathogenic genes, which could cause severe diseases and multi-drug resistance for cattle and humans in this region. Therefore, further research should be carried out to clarify the characteristics and origins of those antibiotic-resistant and pathogenic genes circulating in cattle, and rigorous management should be conducted to protect animals' and humans' health.

#### **Author's contribution**

Conceptualization, Minh T.L. Bui, Thuong T. Nguyen, Thuan K. Nguyen, Khai T.L. Ly; methodology, Thuong T. Nguyen, Thuan K. Nguyen, Hieu C. Nguyen, Minh T.L. Bui; formal analysis, Thuan K. Nguyen, Hieu C. Nguyen, Khai T.L. Ly; writing—original draft preparation, Thuong T. Nguyen, Thuan K. Nguyen, Minh T.L. Bui, Hieu C. Nguyen; writing—review and editing, Minh T.L. Bui, Thuan K. Nguyen. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **Data availability statement**

The data supporting this study's findings are available on request from the corresponding author.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We would like to cooperate between the Sub-Department of Veterinary and Animal Husbandry in those survey provinces and the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture - Can Tho University.

#### **REFERENCES**

Adenipekun EO, Jackson CR, Oluwadun A, Iwalokun BA, Frye JG, Barrett JB, Hiott LM and Woodley TA, 2015. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* from food animals in Lagos, Nigeria. Microbial Drug Resistance 21(3): 358-365[. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2014.0222](https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2014.0222)

- Ahmed OB and Dablool AS, 2017. Quality improvement of the DNA extracted by boiling method in gram negative bacteria. International Journal of Bioassays 6(4): 5347-5349. <https://doi.org/10.21746/IJBIO.2017.04.004>
- Akiyama Y, Saito E, Futai H, Ogita K, Sakae H, Fukunaga M, Tsuji H, Chikahira M and Mimura M, 2015. Comprehensive study of pathogenic genes distributed in *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle. Food Hygiene and Safety Science (Shokuhin Eiseigaku Zasshi) 56(3): 118-122[. https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.3358/shokueishi.56.118)  [10.3358/shokueishi.56.118](https://doi.org/%2010.3358/shokueishi.56.118)
- Arcilla MS, van Hattem JM, Matamoros S, Melles DC, Penders J, de Jong MD and Schultsz C, 2016. Dissemination of the mcr-1 colistin resistance gene. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 16(2): 147-149. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00541-1) [3099\(15\)00541-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(15)00541-1)
- Argudin M, Deplano A, Meghraoui A, Dodemont M, Heinrichs A, Denis O, Nonhoff C and Roisin S, 2017. Bacteria from animals as a pool of antimicrobial resistance genes. Antibiotics 6(2): 12. [https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics60](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics60%2020012)  [20012](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics60%2020012)
- Armstrong GL, Hollingsworth J and Morris Jr JG, 1996. Emerging foodborne pathogens: *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 as a model of entry of a new pathogen into the food supply of the developed world. Epidemiologic Reviews 18(1): 29– 51[. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a017914](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a017914)
- Bacciu D, Falchi G, Spazziani A, Bossi L, Marogna G, Leori GS, Rubino S and Uzzau S, 2004. Transposition of the heatstable toxin astA gene into a gifsy-2-related prophage of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Abortusovis. Journal of Bacteriology 186: 4568–4574. [https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.%20186.14.4568-4574.2004)  [186.14.4568-4574.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.%20186.14.4568-4574.2004)
- Bag MS, Khan MR, Sami MH, Begum F, Islam M, Rahman MM, Rahman M and Hassan J, 2021. Virulence determinants and antimicrobial resistance of *E. coli* isolated from bovine clinical mastitis in some selected dairy farms of Bangladesh. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 28(11): 6317-6323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.06.099>
- Bako E, Kagambèga A, Traore KA, Bagre TS, Ibrahim HB, Bouda SC, Bonkoungou IJO, Kaboré S, Zongo C, Traore AS and Barro N, 2017. Characterization of diarrheagenic Escherichia coli Isolated in organic waste products (cattle fecal matter, manure, and slurry) from cattle's markets in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 14(10): 1100. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14101100>
- Barrow GI and Feltham RKA, 2003. Cowan and Steel's manual for identification of medical bacteria, 3rd (ed). Cambridge Press.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC and Turck M, 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 45(4): 493-496
- Bélanger L, Garenaux A, Harel J, Boulianne M, Nadeau E and Dozois CM, 2011. *Escherichia coli* from animal reservoirs as a potential source of human extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli*. FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology 62(1): 1-10.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2011.00797.x>
- Benedict KM, Gow SP, McAllister TA, Booker CW, Hannon SJ, Checkley SL, Noyes NR and Morley PS, 2015. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* recovered from feedlot cattle and associations with antimicrobial use. PLOS ONE 10(12): e0143995. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.%20pone.0143995)  [pone.0143995](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.%20pone.0143995)
- Bradford PA, 2001. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in the 21st century: characterization, epidemiology, and detection of this important resistance threat. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 14(4): 933-951[. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.14.4.](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.14.4.%20933-951.2001)  [933-951.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.14.4.%20933-951.2001)
- Carattoli A, 2009. Resistance plasmid families in *Enterobacteriaceae*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 53(6): 2227-2238. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/%20AAC.01707-08)  [AAC.01707-08](https://doi.org/10.1128/%20AAC.01707-08)
- Chantziaras I, Boyen F, Callens B and Dewulf J, 2014. Correlation between veterinary antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals: A report on seven countries. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 69(3): 827-834[. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt443](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt443)
- CLSI, 2022. Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 32nd edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute, Pennsylvania.
- Ezzat M, Hassanin AAI, Mahmoud AE, Ismail SM and El-Tarabili RM, 2023. Risk factors, antibiotic profile, and molecular detection of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes of enteric bacteria in diarrheic calves in Egypt. International Journal of Veterinary Science 12(2): 161-168. <https://doi.org/10.47278/journal.ijvs/2022.186>
- Fairbrother JM and Nadeau E, 2006. *Escherichia coli*: on-farm contamination of animals. Revue Scientifique et Technique 25(2): 555-569.
- Fathy H, Abdeen E, Moustapha AEH and Younis G, 2019. Development of PCR and multiplex-PCR for detection of some *Escherichia coli* virulence genes from bovine neonates diarrhea. Journal of Current Veterinary Research 1(1): 11- 16[. https://doi.org/10.21608/jcvr.2019.34737](https://doi.org/10.21608/jcvr.2019.34737)
- Gow SP, Waldner CL, Harel J and Boerlin P, 2008. Associations between antimicrobial resistance genes in fecal generic *Escherichia coli* isolates from cow-calf herds in western Canada. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74(12): 3658-3666[. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02505-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02505-07)
- Gupta MD, Islam M, Sen A, Sarker MS and Das A, 2017. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* in cattle on Bathan and intensive rearing system. Microbes and Health 6(1): 1-4. http://dx.doi.org/10. [3329/mh.v6i1.34062](http://dx.doi.org/10.%203329/mh.v6i1.34062)
- Han HS, Koh YJ, Hur JS and Jung JS, 2004. Occurrence of the strA-strB streptomycin resistance genes in *Pseudomonas* species isolated from kiwifruit plants. The Journal of Microbiology 42(4): 365-368.
- Hassan J, Parvej MS, Rahman MB, Khan MSR, Rahman MT, Kamal T and Nazir KNH, 2014. Prevalence and characterization of *Escherichia coli* from rectal swab of apparently healthy cattle in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. Microbes and Health 3(1): 12-14. [https://doi.org/10.3329/](https://doi.org/10.3329/%20MH.V3I1.19775)  [MH.V3I1.19775](https://doi.org/10.3329/%20MH.V3I1.19775)
- Heydari FE, Bonyadian M, Moshtaghi H and Sami M, 2020. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance profile of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheal samples. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology* 12(4): 289-295. https://doi. org/10.18502%2Fijm.v12i4.3931
- Johnson JR, Sannes MR, Croy C, Johnston B, Clabots C, Kuskowski MA, Bender J, Smith KE, Winokur PL and Belongia EA, 2007. Antimicrobial drug–resistant Escherichia coli from humans and poultry products, Minnesota and Wisconsin, 2002–2004. Emerging infectious diseases 13(6): 838[. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1306.061576](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1306.061576)
- Jouini A, Vinué L, Slama KB, Saenz Y, Klibi N, Hammami S, Boudabous A and Torres C, 2007. Characterization of CTX-M and SHV extended-spectrum β-lactamases and associated resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* strains of food samples in Tunisia. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 60(5): 1137-1141[. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm316](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm316)
- Kaper JB, Nataro JP and Mobley LT, 2004. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2: 123-140. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro818>
- Kimata K, Shima T, Shimizu M, Tanaka D, Isobe J, Gyobu Y, Watahiki M and Nagai Y, 2005. Rapid categorization of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* by multiplex PCR.

Microbiology and Immunology 49(6): 485–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2005.tb03752.x>

- Kirtikliene T, Mierauskaitė A, Razmienė I and Kuisiene N, 2022. Genetic characterization of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* isolates from bloodstream infections in Lithuania. Microorganisms 10(2): 449. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/%20microorganisms10020449)  [microorganisms10020449](https://doi.org/10.3390/%20microorganisms10020449)
- Książczyk M, Dudek B, Kuczkowski M, O'Hara R, Korzekwa K, Wzorek A, Korzeniowska-Kowal A, Upton M, Junka A, Wieliczko A, Ratajszczak R and Bugla-Płoskońska G, 2021. The phylogenetic structure of reptile, avian and uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* with particular reference to extraintestinal pathotypes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22(3): 1192. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/%20ijms22031192)  [ijms22031192](https://doi.org/10.3390/%20ijms22031192)
- Martins LDSA, Motta RG, Martinez AC, Orsi H, Hernandes RT, Rall VL, Pantoja JC, Nardi Júnior GD and Ribeiro MG, 2022. Virulence-encoding genes related to extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* and multidrug resistant pattern of strains isolated from neonatal calves with different severity scores of umbilical infections. Microbial Pathogenesis 174: 105861[. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105861](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105861)
- Massé J, Lardé H, Fairbrother JM, Roy J, Francoz D, Dufour S and Archambault M, 2021. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* isolates from fecal and manure pit samples on dairy farms in the Province of Québec, Canada. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8: 654125[. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.654125](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.654125)
- Mir RA, Weppelmann TA, Kang M, Bliss TM, DiLorenzo N, Lamb GC, Ahn S and Jeong KC, 2015. Association between animal age and the prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in a cohort of beef cattle. Veterinary Microbiology 175(2-4): 325-331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20vetmic.2014.12.016)  [vetmic.2014.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20vetmic.2014.12.016)
- Navajas-Benito EV, Alonso CA, Sanz S, Olarte C, Martínez-Olarte R, Hidalgo-Sanz S, Somalo S and Torres C, 2016. Molecular characterization of antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* strains from a dairy cattle farm and its surroundings. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 97(1): 362-365[. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7709](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7709)
- Nikpiran H, Peighambari SM and Abdi Khasavan A, 2018. Frequency of iucD, tsh, and iss genes among *Escherichia coli* isolates in broilers infected with colibacillosis. Iranian Veterinary Journal 14(4): 106-114[. https://doi.org/10.22055/](https://doi.org/10.22055/%20ivj.2017.57760.1771)  [ivj.2017.57760.1771](https://doi.org/10.22055/%20ivj.2017.57760.1771)
- Poirel L, Madec JY, Lupo A, Schink AK, Kieffer N, Nordmann P and Schwarz S, 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology Spectrum 6(4): 6-4. <https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.arba-0026-2017>
- Randall LP, Cooles SW, Osborn MK, Piddock LJV and Woodward MJ, 2004. Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirty-fire serotypes of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from humans and animals in the UK. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2: 208-216. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh070>
- Ribeiro LF, Barbosa M, Pinto FR, Lavezzo LF, Rossi GA, Almeida H and Amaral LA, 2019. Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in raw milk, water, and cattle feces in nontechnified dairy farms. Ciência Animal Brasileira 20: 1-9. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1089-6891v20e-47449>
- Sáenz Y, Vinué L, Ruiz E, Somalo S, Martínez S, Rojo-Bezares B, Zarazaga M and Torres C, 2010. Class 1 integrons lacking qacEΔ1 and sul1 genes in Escherichia coli isolates of food, animal and human origins. Veterinary Microbiology 144(3- 4): 493-497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.01.026>
- Santos MMD, Alcântara ACMD, Perecmanis S, Campos A and Santana AP, 2014. Antimicrobial resistance of bacterial strains isolated from avian cellulitis. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science 16: 13-18. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2014000100002) [635X2014000100002](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-635X2014000100002)
- Schubert S, Rakin A, Karch H, Carniel E and Heesemann J, 1998. Prevalence of the "high-pathogenicity island" of *Yersinia* species among *Escherichia coli* strains that are pathogenic to humans. Infection and Immunity 66(2): 480-485. <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.66.2.480-485.1998>
- Shin SW, Shin MK, Jung M, Belaynehe KM and Yoo HS, 2015. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and transfer of tetracycline resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from beef cattle. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 81(16): 5560-5566. [https://doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.](https://doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.%2001511-15)  [01511-15](https://doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.%2001511-15)
- Shoaib M, He Z, Geng X, Tang M, Hao R, Wang S, Shang R, Wang X, Zhang H and Pu W, 2023. The emergence of multidrug resistant and virulence gene carrying *Escherichia coli* strains in the dairy environment: a rising threat to the environment, animal, and public health. Frontiers in Microbiology 14:1197579. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.%202023.1197579)  [2023.1197579](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.%202023.1197579)
- Srinivasan V, Gillespie BE, Lewis MJ, Nguyen LT, Headrick SI, Schukken YH and Oliver SP, 2007. Phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial resistance patterns of Escherichia coli isolated from dairy cows with mastitis. Veterinary Microbiology 124(3-4): 319-328. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20vetmic.2007.04.040)  [vetmic.2007.04.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.%20vetmic.2007.04.040)
- Stein RA and Katz DE, 2017. *Escherichia coli*, cattle and the propagation of disease. FEMS Microbiology Letters 364(6): fnx050[. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx050](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx050)
- Thuan NK, Lam NT, Chien NTP, Khanh NP, Khai LTL and Bich TN, 2022. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes and genetic relationship of *Escherichia coli* serotype O45, O113, O121, and O157 isolated from cattle in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Veterinary Integrative Sciences 20(3): 695-707. https://doi. org/10.12982/VIS. 2022.053
- Veilleux S and Dubreuil JD, 2006. Presence of *Escherichia coli* carrying the EAST1 toxin gene in farm animals. Veterinary Research 37(1): 3-13. [https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:](https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:%202005045)  [2005045](https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:%202005045)
- Welch RA, 2006. The Genus *Escherichia*. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, and Stackebrandt E (eds). The Prokaryotes. Springer, New York, NY. [https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30746-X\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30746-X_3)
- Wellington EM, Boxall AB, Cross P, Feil EJ, Gaze WH, Hawkey PM, Johnson-Rollings AS, Jones DL, Lee NM, Otten W, Thomas CM and Williams AP, 2013. The role of the natural environment in the emergence of antibiotic resistance in gram-negative bacteria. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 13(2): 155-165. doi: fnx05010.1016/S1473-3099(12)70317- 1
- Yamamoto S, Iwabuchi E, Hasegawa M, Esaki H, Muramatsu M, Hirayama N and Hirai K, 2013. Prevalence and molecular epidemiological characterization of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from Japanese black beef cattle. Journal of Food Protection 76(3): 394-404[. https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10%20.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-273)  [.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-273](https://doi.org/10%20.4315/0362-028X.JFP-12-273)
- Yamamoto S, Nakano M, Kitagawa W, Tanaka M, Sone T, Hirai K and Asano K, 2014. Characterization of multi-antibioticresistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from beef cattle in Japan. Microbes and Environments 29(2): 136-144. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1264/jsme2.ME13173) [10.1264/jsme2.ME13173](https://doi.org/%2010.1264/jsme2.ME13173)